

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

Court Activity Trends, Cases from Trial Courts - 2009 to 2013

Page 1 of 2

	2009	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)	2012	% Chg (4)	2013	% Chg (4)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	125	127	1.6 %	167	31.5 %	147	-12 %	160	8.8 %
RESOLUTIONS (1), (5)									
Total Opinions	10	4	. %	13	. %	14	. %	17	. %
Dismissed	0	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %
Transferred/Certified	25	14	. %	16	. %	19	. %	18	. %
Review Not Accepted	3	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	62	45	-27.4 %	79	75.6 %	60	-24.1 %	69	15 %
Total Orders	90	59	-34.4 %	95	61 %	80	-15.8 %	88	10 %
Dismissed	17	20	. %	17	. %	27	. %	17	. %
Transferred/Certified	0	2	. %	7	. %	4	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	6	4	. %	0	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Other Terminations	5	18	. %	11	. %	10	. %	11	. %
Total Rulings	28	44	. %	35	-20.5 %	41	17.1 %	30	. %
Other Decisions Term. Review			. %		. %		. %		. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (2)	118	103	-12.7 %	130	26.2 %	121	-6.9 %	118	-2.5 %
Total Resolved	128	107	-16.4 %	143	33.6 %	135	-5.6 %	135	0 %
PENDING AT YEAR END (3)									
Cases Stayed	4	1	. %	7	. %	1	. %	6	. %
Cases Ready	5	6	. %	6	. %	3	. %	5	. %
Cases Not Ready	32	44	37.5 %	42	-4.5 %	51	21.4 %	62	21.6 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(3) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(4) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(5) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

Court Activity Trends, Cases from Trial Courts - 2009 to 2013

Page 2 of 2

	2009	2010	% Chg (4)	2011	% Chg (4)	2012	% Chg (4)	2013	% Chg (4)
Set for Motion on the Merits	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Motion Calendar	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Oral Argument	5	1	. %	3	. %	5	. %	1	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	46	52	13 %	58	11.5 %	60	3.4 %	74	23.3 %
Opinion/Order in Process	0	8	. %	7	. %	9	. %	7	. %
Total Pending Decision	46	60	30.4 %	65	8.3 %	69	6.2 %	81	17.4 %
MANDATED									
Opinion Terminating Review	9	6	. %	15	. %	16	. %	18	. %
Order Terminating Review	88	64	-27.3 %	95	48.4 %	77	-18.9 %	90	16.9 %
Ruling Terminating Review	30	41	. %	35	-14.6 %	45	28.6 %	26	. %
Other Decision Terminating Review	13	5	. %	5	. %	17	. %	9	. %
Total Mandated	140	116	-17.1 %	150	29.3 %	155	3.3 %	143	-7.7 %

(1) As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

(2) For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

(3) As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

(4) Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

(5) As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.